




$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)$$

## K<sup>0</sup> MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>497.614±0.024 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.6.
<b>497.614±0.022 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.
497.583±0.005±0.020	35k	AMBROSINO	07B KLOE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
497.625±0.001±0.031	655k	LAI	02 NA48	$K_L^0$ beam
497.661±0.033	3713	BARKOV	87B CMD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
497.742±0.085	780	BARKOV	85B CMD	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
497.44 ± 0.50		FITCH	67 OSPK	
498.9 ± 0.5	4500	BALTAY	66 HBC	$K^0$ from $\bar{p}p$
497.44 ± 0.33	2223	KIM	65B HBC	$K^0$ from $\bar{p}p$
498.1 ± 0.4		CHRISTENS...	64 OSPK	

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
497.614±0.022 (Error scaled by 1.5)



$$m_{K^0} = m_{K^\pm}$$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>3.937±0.028 OUR FIT</b>					Error includes scale factor of 1.8.
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
3.95 ± 0.21	417	HILL	68B DBC	+	$K^+ d \rightarrow K^0 pp$
3.90 ± 0.25	9	BURNSTEIN	65 HBC	-	
3.71 ± 0.35	7	KIM	65B HBC	-	$K^- p \rightarrow n \bar{K}^0$
5.4 ± 1.1		CRAWFORD	59 HBC	+	
3.9 ± 0.6		ROSENFELD	59 HBC	-	

## K<sup>0</sup> MEAN SQUARE CHARGE RADIUS

VALUE (fm <sup>2</sup> )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.077±0.010 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
-0.077±0.007±0.011	5037	ABOUZAID	06 KTEV	$K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$
-0.090±0.021		LAI	03C NA48	$K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$
-0.054±0.026		MOLZON	78	$K_S^0$ regen. by electrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.087±0.046		BLATNIK	79	VMD + dispersion relations
-0.050±0.130		FOETH	69B	$K_S^0$ regen. by electrons

NODE=S011

NODE=S011M

NODE=S011M

NODE=S011SCR

NODE=S011SCR

## T-VIOLATION PARAMETER IN $K^0-\bar{K}^0$ MIXING

The asymmetry  $A_T = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0) - \Gamma(K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0)}{\Gamma(\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0) + \Gamma(K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0)}$  must vanish if  $T$ -invariance holds.

### ASYMMETRY $A_T$ IN $K^0-\bar{K}^0$ MIXING

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<b>6.6±1.3±1.0</b>	640k	1 ANGELOPO... 98E	CPLR

<sup>1</sup> ANGELOPOULOS 98E measures the asymmetry  $A_T = [\Gamma(\bar{K}_{t=0}^0 \rightarrow e^+ \pi^- \nu_{t=\tau}) - \Gamma(K_{t=0}^0 \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ \bar{\nu}_{t=\tau})]/[\Gamma(\bar{K}_{t=0}^0 \rightarrow e^+ \pi^- \nu_{t=\tau}) + \Gamma(K_{t=0}^0 \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ \bar{\nu}_{t=\tau})]$  as a function of the neutral-kaon eigenstate  $\tau$ . The initial strangeness of the neutral kaon is tagged by the charge of the accompanying charged kaon in the reactions  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^-\pi^+K^0$  and  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\bar{K}^0$ . The strangeness at the time of the decay is tagged by the lepton charge. The reported result is the average value of  $A_T$  over the interval  $1\tau_S < \tau < 20\tau_S$ . From this value of  $A_T$  ANGELOPOULOS 01B, assuming  $CPT$  invariance in the  $e\pi\nu$  decay amplitude, determine the  $T$ -violating as  $\Delta S = \Delta S$  conserving parameter (for its definition, see Review below)  $4\text{Re}(\epsilon) = (6.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$ .

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

### CP-VIOLATION PARAMETERS

#### Re( $\epsilon$ )

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<b>1.596±0.013</b>	2 AMBROSINO 06H	KLOE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.664±0.010	3 LAI	05A NA48
-------------	-------	----------

<sup>2</sup> AMBROSINO 06H uses Bell-Steinberger relations with the following measurements:  $B(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$  in AMBROSINO 06F,  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$  in AMBROSINO 05B, the  $K_S^0$ -semileptonic charge asymmetry in AMBROSINO 06E, and  $K^0$ -semileptonic results in ANGELOPOULOS 98F.

<sup>3</sup> LAI 05A values are obtained through unitarity (Bell-Steinberger relations), improving determination of  $\eta_{000}$  and combining other data from PDG 04 and APOSTOLAKIS 99B.

### CPT-VIOLATION PARAMETERS

In  $K^0-\bar{K}^0$  mixing, if  $CP$ -violating interactions include a  $T$  conserving part then

$$|K_S\rangle = [ |K_1\rangle + (\epsilon + \delta) |K_2\rangle ] / \sqrt{1 + |\epsilon + \delta|^2}$$

$$|K_L\rangle = [ |K_2\rangle + (\epsilon - \delta) |K_1\rangle ] / \sqrt{1 + |\epsilon - \delta|^2}$$

where

$$|K_1\rangle = [ |K^0\rangle + |\bar{K}^0\rangle ] / \sqrt{2}$$

$$|K_2\rangle = [ |K^0\rangle - |\bar{K}^0\rangle ] / \sqrt{2}$$

and

$$|\bar{K}^0\rangle = CP|K^0\rangle.$$

The parameter  $\delta$  specifies the  $CPT$ -violating part.

Estimates of  $\delta$  are given below assuming the validity of the  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  rule. See also THOMSON 95 for a test of  $CPT$ -symmetry conservation in  $K^0$  decays using the Bell-Steinberger relation.

### REAL PART OF $\delta$

A nonzero value violates  $CPT$  invariance.

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.51± 2.25</b>	4 ABOUZAID	11 KTEV		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.3 ± 2.7	5 AMBROSINO 06H	KLOE
2.4 ± 2.8	6 APOSTOLA... 99B	RVUE
2.9 ± 2.6 ± 0.6	1.3M 6481	7 ANGELOPO... 98F CPLR
180 ± 200	6481	8 DEMIDOV 95 $K_{\ell 3}$ reanalysis

NODE=S011252

NODE=S011252

NODE=S011AT  
NODE=S011AT

NODE=S011AT;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S011254

NODE=S011256

NODE=S011REP  
NODE=S011REP

NODE=S011REP;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=S011REP;LINKAGE=LA

NODE=S011255

NODE=S011255

NODE=S011DRE  
NODE=S011DRE  
NODE=S011DRE

<sup>4</sup> ABOUZAID 11 uses Bell-Steinberger relations.

<sup>5</sup> AMBROSINO 06H uses Bell-Steinberger relations with the following measurements:  $B(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$  in AMBROSINO 06F,  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$  in AMBROSINO 05B, the  $K_S^0$ -semileptonic charge asymmetry in AMBROSINO 06E, and  $K^0$ -semileptonic results in ANGELOPOULOS 98F.

<sup>6</sup> APOSTOLAKIS 99B assumes only unitarity and combines CPLEAR and other results.

<sup>7</sup> ANGELOPOULOS 98F use  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ . If  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  is not assumed, they find  $\text{Re}\delta = (3.0 \pm 3.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$ .

<sup>8</sup> DEMIDOV 95 reanalyzes data from HART 73 and NIEBERGALL 74.

## IMAGINARY PART OF $\delta$

A nonzero value violates  $CPT$  invariance.

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**-1.5 ± 1.6** 9 ABOUZAID 11 KTEV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.4 ± 2.1	10 AMBROSINO 06H KLOE
-0.2 ± 2.0	11 LAI 05A NA48
2.4 ± 5.0	12 APOSTOLA... 99B RVUE
-90 ± 290 ± 100	13 ANGELOPO... 98F CPLR
2100 ± 3700	6481 14 DEMIDOV 95 $K_{\ell 3}$ reanalysis

9 ABOUZAID 11 uses Bell-Steinberger relations.

10 AMBROSINO 06H uses Bell-Steinberger relations with the following measurements:  $B(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$  in AMBROSINO 06F,  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$  in AMBROSINO 05B, the  $K_S^0$ -semileptonic charge asymmetry in AMBROSINO 06E, and  $K^0$ -semileptonic results in ANGELOPOULOS 98F.

11 LAI 05A values are obtained through unitarity (Bell-Steinberger relations), improving determination of  $\eta_{000}$  and combining other data from PDG 04 and APOSTOLAKIS 99B.

12 APOSTOLAKIS 99B assumes only unitarity and combines CPLEAR and other results.

13 If  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  is not assumed, ANGELOPOULOS 98F finds  $\text{Im}\delta = (-15 \pm 23 \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$ .

14 DEMIDOV 95 reanalyzes data from HART 73 and NIEBERGALL 74.

## Re(y)

A non-zero value would violate  $CPT$  invariance in  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  amplitude.  $\text{Re}(y)$  is the following combination of  $K_{e3}$  decay amplitudes:

$$\text{Re}(y) = \text{Re} \left( \frac{A(\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ \bar{\nu}_e)^* - A(K^0 \rightarrow e^+ \pi^- \nu_e)}{A(\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow e^- \pi^+ \bar{\nu}_e)^* + A(K^0 \rightarrow e^+ \pi^- \nu_e)} \right)$$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
--------------------------	------	-------------	------

**0.4 ± 2.5** 13k 15 AMBROSINO 06E KLOE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.3 ± 3.1 16 APOSTOLA... 99B CPLR

15 They use the PDG 04 for the  $K_L^0$  semileptonic charge asymmetry and PDG 04 (*CP review, CPT NOT ASSUMED*) for  $\text{Re}(\epsilon)$ .

16 Constrained by Bell-Steinberger (or unitarity) relation.

## Re(x<sub>-</sub>)

A non-zero value would violate  $CPT$  invariance in decay amplitudes with  $\Delta S \neq \Delta Q$ .  $x_-$ , used here to define  $\text{Re}(x_-)$ , and  $x_+$ , used below in the  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  section are the following combinations of  $K_{e3}$  decay amplitudes:

$$x_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A(\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e)}{A(K^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e)} \pm \frac{A(K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)^*}{A(K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)^*} \right).$$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**-2.9 ± 2.0** 17 AMBROSINO 06H KLOE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.8 ± 2.5 13k 18 AMBROSINO 06E KLOE

-0.5 ± 3.0 19 APOSTOLA... 99B CPLR Strangeness tagged

2 ± 13 ± 3 650k ANGELOPO... 98F CPLR Strangeness tagged

17 AMBROSINO 06H uses Bell-Steinberger relations with the following measurements:  $B(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$  in AMBROSINO 06F,  $B(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$  in AMBROSINO 05B, the  $K_S^0$ -semileptonic charge asymmetry in AMBROSINO 06E, and  $K^0$ -semileptonic results in ANGELOPOULOS 98F.

18 Uses PDG 04 for the  $K_L^0$  semileptonic charge asymmetry and  $\text{Re}(\delta)$  from CPLEAR, ANGELOPOULOS 98F.

19 Constrained by Bell-Steinberger (or unitarity) relation.

NODE=S011DRE;LINKAGE=AO  
NODE=S011DRE;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=S011DRE;LINKAGE=AP  
NODE=S011DRE;LINKAGE=B

NODE=S011DRE;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S011DIM  
NODE=S011DIM  
NODE=S011DIM

NODE=S011DIM;LINKAGE=AO  
NODE=S011DIM;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=S011DIM;LINKAGE=LA

NODE=S011DIM;LINKAGE=AP  
NODE=S011DIM;LINKAGE=B  
NODE=S011DIM;LINKAGE=A

NODE=S011YRE  
NODE=S011YRE

NODE=S011YRE

NODE=S011YRE;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=S011YRE;LINKAGE=AP

NODE=S011XRM  
NODE=S011XRM

NODE=S011XRM

NODE=S011XRM;LINKAGE=AB

NODE=S011XRM;LINKAGE=AM  
NODE=S011XRM;LINKAGE=AP

NODE=S011DMM

$$|m_{K^0} - m_{\bar{K}^0}| / m_{\text{average}}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance. "Our Evaluation" is described in the "Tests of Conservation Laws" section. It assumes *CPT* invariance in the decay and neglects some contributions from decay channels other than  $\pi\pi$ .

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$<6 \times 10^{-19}$	90	PDG	12
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
$(-3 \pm 4) \times 10^{-18}$	20	ANGELOPO... 99B	RVUE
20 ANGELOPOULOS 99B assumes only unitarity and combines CPLEAR and other results.			

### $(\Gamma_{K^0} - \Gamma_{\bar{K}^0})/m_{\text{average}}$

A test of *CPT* invariance.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$(7.8 \pm 8.4) \times 10^{-18}$	21 ANGELOPO... 99B	RVUE
21 ANGELOPOULOS 99B assumes only unitarity and combines CPLEAR with other results. Correlated with $(m_{K^0} - m_{\bar{K}^0}) / m_{\text{average}}$ with a correlation coefficient of -0.95.		

## TESTS OF $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ RULE

### Re( $x_+$ )

A non-zero value would violate the  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  rule in *CPT* conserving transitions.  $x_+$  is defined above in the Re( $x_-$ ) section.

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
<b>-0.9 ± 3.0 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
-2 ± 10		22 BATLEY	07D NA48
-0.5 ± 3.6	13k	23 AMBROSINO	06E KLOE
-1.8 ± 6.1		24 ANGELOPO...	98D CPLR
22 Result obtained from the measurement $\Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu) / \Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu) = 0.993 \pm 0.34$ , neglecting possible <i>CPT</i> non-invariance and using PDG 06 values of $B(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu) = 0.4053 \pm 0.0015$ , $\tau_L = (5.114 \pm 0.021) \times 10^{-8}$ s and $\tau_S = (0.8958 \pm 0.0005) \times 10^{-10}$ s.			
23 $\text{Re}(x_+)$ can be shown to be equal to the following combination of rates:			

$$\text{Re}(x_+) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu) - \Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu)}{\Gamma(K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu) + \Gamma(K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi e \nu)}$$

which is valid up to first order in terms violating *CPT* and/or the  $\Delta S = \Delta Q$  rule.  
24 Obtained neglecting *CPT* violating amplitudes.

## K<sup>0</sup> REFERENCES

PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABOUZAID	11	PR D83 092001	E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
AMBROSINO	07B	JHEP 0712 073	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
BATLEY	07D	PL B653 145	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
ABOUZAID	06	PR D96 101801	E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i>	(KTeV Collab.)
AMBROSINO	06E	PL B636 173	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
AMBROSINO	06F	PL B638 140	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
AMBROSINO	06H	JHEP 0612 011	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AMBROSINO	05B	PL B619 61	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
LAI	05A	PL B610 165	A. Lai <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
LAI	03C	EPJ C30 33	A. Lai <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
LAI	02	PL B533 196	A. Lai <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
ANGELOPO...	01B	EPJ C22 55	A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CPLEAR Collab.)
ANGELOPO...	99B	PL B471 332	A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CPLEAR Collab.)
APOSTOLA...	99B	PL B456 297	A. Apostolakis <i>et al.</i>	(CPLEAR Collab.)
ANGELOPO...	98D	PL B444 38	A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CPLEAR Collab.)
Also		EPJ C22 55	A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CPLEAR Collab.)
ANGELOPO...	98E	PL B444 43	A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CPLEAR Collab.)
ANGELOPO...	98F	PL B444 52	A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CPLEAR Collab.)
Also		EPJ C22 55	A. Angelopoulos <i>et al.</i>	(CPLEAR Collab.)
DEMIDOV	95	PAN 58 968	V. Demidov, K. Gusev, E. Shabalin	(ITEP)
From YAF 58 1041.				
THOMSON	95	PR D51 1412	G.B. Thomson, Y. Zou	(RUTG)
BARKOV	87B	SJNP 46 630	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	85B	JETPL 42 138	Translated from YAF 46 1088. L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BLATNIK	79	LNC 24 39	S. Blatnik, J. Stahov, C.B. Lang	(TUZL, GRAZ)
MOLZON	78	PR C 41 1213	W.R. Molzon <i>et al.</i>	(EFI+)
NIEBERGALL	74	PL 49B 103	F. Niebergall <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ORSAY, VIEN)
HART	73	NP B66 317	J.C. Hart <i>et al.</i>	(CAVE, RHEL)
FOETH	69B	PL 30B 276	H. Foeth <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, CERN, TORI)
HILL	68B	PR 168 1534	D.G. Hill <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CMU)
FITCH	67	PR 164 1711	V.L. Fitch <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN)
BALTAY	66	PR 142 932	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(YALE, BNL)
BURNSTEIN	65	PR 138 B895	R.A. Burnstein, H.A. Rubin	(UMD)
KIM	65B	PR 140B 1334	J.K. Kim, L. Kirsch, D. Miller	(COLU)
CHRISTENS...	64	PRL 13 138	J.H. Christenson <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN)
CRAWFORD	59	PRL 2 112	F.S. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
ROSEN Feld	59	PRL 2 110	A.H. Rosenfeld, F.T. Solmitz, R.D. Tripp	(LRL)

NODE=S011DMM

NODE=S011DMM

NODE=S011DMM;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=S011DGM

NODE=S011DGM

NODE=S011DGM

NODE=S011DGM;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=S011260

NODE=S011XRP

NODE=S011XRP

NODE=S011XRP;LINKAGE=BA

NODE=S011XRP;LINKAGE=AM

NODE=S011XRP;LINKAGE=AN

NODE=S011

REFID=54066

REFID=53712

REFID=52111

REFID=51876

REFID=51111

REFID=51073

REFID=51074

REFID=51654

REFID=51004

REFID=50682

REFID=50597

REFID=49653

REFID=49502

REFID=48724

REFID=48507

REFID=47563

REFID=47035

REFID=46539

REFID=48507

REFID=46540

REFID=46541

REFID=48507

REFID=44350

REFID=44142

REFID=40413

REFID=11047

REFID=48613

REFID=48614

REFID=11362

REFID=11335

REFID=48617

REFID=11046

REFID=11044

REFID=11042

REFID=11043

REFID=11041

REFID=11039

REFID=11040